

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/054,617	MCCOY, MARK SCOTT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dionne A. Walls	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Dionne A. Walls.

(2) Andrew Gathy.

Date of Interview: 30 October 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner asked to cancel claims 1-7, as being drawn to a Non-Elected Invention, in order to place the Application in condition for Allowance. Applicant's representative agreed. Such is reflected in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)